Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                      SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
                              ---000---
 5
     ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
6
                             )
7
             Plaintiff,
                                   )
8
                                    )
                                      No. CV 10-03561 WHA
       vs.
9
     GOOGLE, INC.,
                                    )
10
             Defendant.
                                   )
11
12
13
14
15
           CONFIDENTIAL TESTIMONY - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
16
       VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
17
                       DESIGNEE: JOHN PAMPUCH
18
                        FRIDAY, JULY 29, 2011
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
     PAGES 1 - 220
                                                        Page 1
```

Attorneys' Eyes Only

1	Let's move on to the next the third	2:13:09PM
2	topic that you were designated to testify about	
3	today. And again	
4	A Sure.	
5	Q we may jump back to these previous two	2:13:16PM
6	topics and ask, you know, some questions or to the	
7	extent that there is, you know, relevant questions	
8	that overlaps between the different topics.	
9	MR. FRANCIS: So I'll identify as	
10	Exhibit 273 Defendant Google, Inc.'s Corrected Third	2:13:32PM
11	Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Plaintiff	
12	Oracle America, Inc.	
13	(Defendant's Exhibit 273 marked	
14	for identification.)	
15	BY MR. FRANCIS:	2:13:47PM
16	Q And if we look at Topic Number 9 on	
17	page 3, it states:	
18	"The practice of the asserted	
19	claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,966,702	
20	by JavaOS (including the	2:14:13PM
21	identification of the specific	
22	functionality within JavaOS	
23	practicing the claims, the date of	
24	the first inclusion of that	
25	functionality in JavaOS, and any	2:14:25PM
		Page 118

Attorneys' Eyes Only

		<u> </u>	
1		<pre>public disclosure(s), license(s),</pre>	2:14:27PM
2		sale(s), or offer(s) to license or	
3		sell of JavaOS before October 31,	
4		1996)."	
5		Is that correct?	2:14:37PM
6	A	That's what it says.	
7	Q	Do you recognize this document?	
8	A	Yes, I have seen this.	
9	Q	When did you see this?	
10	A	Yesterday.	2:14:46PM
11	Q	For the first time yesterday?	
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Are you prepared to testify on this topic?	
14	A	I can testify about the implementation of	
15	the mclas	s technology in JavaOS and I can talk to	2:15:01PM
16	the dates	that that technology became available.	
17	Q	Can you talk about the actual asserted	
18	claims of	the patent, as opposed to just the general	
19	technolog	y?	
20	A	I've never seen the patent, and I	2:15:25PM
21	certainly	don't have the expertise to analyze the	
22	patent.		
23	Q	Can you testify regarding any public	
24	disclosur	es of JavaOS before October 31, 1996?	
25	А	No, not significantly, because I'm not	2:15:53PM
			Page 119

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 674-42 Filed 01/03/12 Page 4 of 5

Attorneys' Eyes Only

1	A For this topic, no. I only reviewed the	2:22:35PM
2	source code myself.	
3	Q What about for any other topics?	
4	A Hinkmond Wong I think worked on JavaOS,	
5	and we talked about recovery of the files.	2:22:49PM
6	Q But you didn't discuss this topic with	
7	him?	
8	A Not the implementation, no.	
9	Q Why not?	
10	A I didn't think it was necessary. I had	2:23:02PM
11	you know, the source code is self-explanatory.	
12	Q Do you know if Hinkmond is familiar with	
13	the '702 patent?	
14	A I don't know whether he's familiar with it	
15	or not.	2:23:22PM
16	Q You testified that you have never seen the	
17	'702 patent; is that correct?	
18	A That's correct.	
19	Q So how are you prepared to testify about	
20	the practice of the '702 patent by JavaOS if you	2:23:40PM
21	have never actually seen the patent?	
22	A Well, as I said, I can talk about the	
23	implementation that's in JavaOS 1.1.	
24	Q But the topic doesn't ask about the	
25	implementation of JavaOS, it asks for it asks	2:24:01PM
		Page 124

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

١		· . "
	1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) :ss
	2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)
	3	
	4	I, KELLI COMBS, CSR NO. 7705, a Certified Shorthand
	5	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
	6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me
	7	at the time and place herein set forth; that any
	8	witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to
	9	testifying, were placed under oath; that the verbatim
	10	record of the proceedings was made by me using machine
	11	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
	12	direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate
	13	transcription thereof.
	14	I further certify that I am neither financially
	15	interested in the action nor a relative or employee of
	16	any attorney of any of the parties.
	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my
	18	name.
	19	
	20	Dated: July 30, 2011
	21	
	22	
	23	telli combo
	24	KELLI COMBS, CSR NO. 7705
	25	
i	,	216